1 2	David J. McGlothlin, Esq. (SBN 0260 david@kazlg.com Ryan L. McBride, Esq. (SBN 032001)59))		
3	ryan@kazlg.com Kazerouni Law Group, APC 2633 E. Indian School Road, Ste. 460 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523			
4	2633 E. Indian School Road, Ste. 460 Phoenix, AZ 85016			
5	Facsimile: (800) 520-5523			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
9		Case No.:		
10	Linda Cottrell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR		
11	situated,	DAMAGES PURSUANT TO THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, ET SEQ.;		
12	Plaintiff,	15 U.S.C. § 1681, ET SEQ.;		
1314	V.))		
15	Ally Financial, Inc. d/b/a Ally	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
16	Financial;)		
17	Defendants.)		
18	Borondants.			
19)		
20)		
21		_)		
22	///			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28	/// COMPLAINT	1		
	COMI LAINI	<u>.</u>		

Introduction

1. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence that the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. An elaborate mechanism has been developed for investigating and evaluating the credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, and general reputation of consumers. Consumer reporting agencies have assumed a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other information on consumers.

- 2. There is a need to insure that consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., to require that consumer reporting agencies adopt reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of commerce for consumer credit, personnel, insurance, and other information in a manner which is fair and equitable to the consumer, with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization of such information.
- 3. Linda Cottrell ("Plaintiff"), by Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this class action complaint to challenge the actions of Ally Financial, Inc. d/b/a Ally Financial ("Defendant") with regard to Defendant's unauthorized and unlawful credit inquiry.
- 4. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.

5. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statute cited in its entirety.

- 6. Any violation by Defendant was knowing, willful, and intentional, and Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.
- 7. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendant named.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of violation of federal law. 15 U.S.C. §1681 *et seq*.
- 9. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 1681x ("FCRA").
- 10. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in Arizona as it conducts business there. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). Further, Defendant is registered as a Corporation with the Arizona Secretary of State.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Phoenix, Arizona, whose credit report was affected by an unauthorized inquiry. In addition, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant's website is http://www.ally.com.
- 13. Upon information and believe, Defendant is incorporated in Michigan with its principal place of business in Detroit, Michigan.
- 14. Defendant is a "person" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

15.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant acquired Plaintiff's credit information through an unauthorized inquiry of Plaintiff's "consumer report" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1681a(d)(1).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Sometime before June 28, 2017, Plaintiff allegedly incurred financial 16. obligations to Americaedit Financial Services, Inc. d/b/a GM Financial (the "Debt" or "Account") for a vehicle loan.
- 17. On June 28, 2017, Plaintiff filed for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona, case number 2:17-bk-07389 (the "Bankruptcy").
- 18. The Debt was scheduled in the Bankruptcy and Americredit Financial Services, Inc. d/b/a GM Financial ("GM") received notice of the Bankruptcy.
- 19. On or about October 26, 2017, Plaintiff received a bankruptcy discharge.
- 20. Subsequently, the Debt was sold, transferred, or assigned to Defendant.
- 21. Neither GM nor Defendant filed any proceedings to declare its Debt "non 16 dischargeable" pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523 et seq. 17
 - 22. Neither GM nor Defendant requested relief from the "automatic stay" codified at 11 U.S.C. §362 et seq. while the Plaintiff's Bankruptcy was pending to pursue the Plaintiff on any personal liability for any of the underlying Debts.
 - Accordingly, the Debt to GM and Defendant was discharged through the 23. Bankruptcy.
- 24. The bankruptcy Discharge extinguished any relationship between Plaintiff 24 and Defendant.
 - 25. Plaintiff did not conduct any business nor incur any additional financial obligations with Defendant from the date of the bankruptcy discharge until February 2019.

28

27

- 26. Upon review of her July 3, 2019 Trans Union credit report, Plaintiff discovered that on or about April 30, 2018 Defendant submitted an unauthorized credit report inquiry to Trans Union, a credit reporting agency, for "account review" reasons.
 - 27. 15 U.S.C. § 1681b delineates the only permissible uses of, or access to, consumer reports.
 - 28. Defendant's inquiry for Plaintiff's consumer report information, without Plaintiff's consent, falls outside the scope of any permissible use or access included in 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
 - 29. Defendant should not have submitted a credit report inquiry on April 30, 2018 because Defendant did not have any permissible purpose to access Plaintiff's credit report on April 30, 2018 for "Account review" purposes.
 - 30. Defendant had no legitimate business need for the information in Plaintiff's credit report on April 30, 2018.
 - 31. Therefore, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681b by using Plaintiff's consumer report for an impermissible use that falls outside the scope of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
 - Defendant's actions were willful under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n because Defendant was aware of the FCRA's prohibitions on impermissibly pulling consumers' credit reports. *See Doe v. Sentech Employment Services, Inc.*, E.D. Mich. May 16, 2016) (citing *Singleton v. Domino's Pizza, LLC*, 2012 WL 245965, *4 (D. Md. Jan. 25, 2012) ("[A]ssertions that a defendant is aware of the FCRA, but failed to comply with its requirements, are sufficient to support an allegation of willfulness and to avoid dismissal.").
 - 33. Plaintiff suffered an invasion of a legally protected interest when Defendant accessed Plaintiff's highly confidential personal information on Plaintiff's credit report at a time when Defendant had no right to do so, an invasion of

Plaintiff's right to privacy. The FCRA, through 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, protects consumers like Plaintiff from this precise behavior.

- 34. Plaintiff has a common law right to keep Plaintiff's personal credit information private. *E.g.*, Samuel D. Warren & Louis D. Brandeis, *The Right to Privacy*, 4 Harv. L. Rev. 1155, 193 (1890). Congress sought to further protect that right by enacting the FCRA. Indeed, the common law tort of intrusion upon seclusion is preempted by the FCRA, and the FCRA expressly provides that Congress made the following finding: "There is a need to insure that consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy." 15 U.S.C. §1681a(4) (emphasis added).
- 35. Plaintiff was affected personally because when Plaintiff realized the behavior of Defendant described above (pulling Plaintiff's credit report without any authorization), Plaintiff felt that Plaintiff's privacy had been invaded and that Plaintiff's personal and private information had been disclosed to Defendant who had no right to Plaintiff's private information.
- 36. Defendant's behavior caused Plaintiff to suffer mental and emotional distress as a result of Defendant's invasion of Plaintiff's privacy.
- 37. The injury suffered by Plaintiff is concrete because Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b caused Plaintiff to suffer from Defendant's invasion of Plaintiff's privacy. In enacting 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, Congress specifically sought to protect consumers from invasions of privacy and created restrictions on access to consumers' sensitive financial information in their credit reports.
- 38. Further, Defendant increased the risk that Plaintiff will be injured if there is a data breach on Defendant's computer systems by acquiring additional highly sensitive information about Plaintiff and the class members and saving that information onto its computer system. Data breaches are increasingly

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	

Data Breaches, Kerbs, available common (see, e.g., at http://krebsonsecurity.com/category/data-breaches/), and financial institutions like Defendant are frequent targets of cybercriminals (see, e.g., The Top 8 Largest Date Breaches in the Financial Services Industry, Association of Certified Financial Crime Specialists, available at http://www.acfcs.org/the-top-8-largest-data-breaches-in-the-financialservices-industry/).

39. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to the remedies available under 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 40. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Class").
- 41. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the Class, consisting of:

All persons whose consumer credit report from any of the three major credit reporting agencies (Transunion, Equifax, and Experian) reflects an unauthorized consumer credit report inquiry by Defendant after closure of the consumer's account with Defendant (through Bankruptcy or other means) within the past 5 years.

- 42. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the hundreds, if not more. This matter should therefore be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 43. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, engaged in illegal and deceptive practices, when it submitted an unauthorized consumer report inquiry under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.

27

28

- This suit seeks only recovery of actual and statutory damages on behalf of 44. the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 45. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 46. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - 1. Whether, within the five years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant or its agents submitted any consumer credit report inquiries after the accounts of members of the Class have closed accounts; and
 - 2. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violations.
- As a person who suffered an unauthorized consumer credit report inquiry by 47. Defendant on her credit report, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a 48. result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

27

25

28

- of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 49. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act.
- **50.** A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for FCRA violations are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 51. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1692x (FCRA)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 52. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple 53. violations of the FCRA.
- As a result of each and every negligent violation of the FCRA, Plaintiff is 54. entitled to actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2), from Defendant.
- 55. As a result of each and every willful violation of the FCRA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages or damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 and such amount as the court may allowed for all other class members, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); punitive damages as the

court may allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) from Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and Class members the following relief against Defendant:

- An order certifying the Class;
- An order certifying the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
- A declaratory judgment that Defendant's actions as discussed herein is unlawful and an invasion of privacy;
- An order requiring Defendant, at its own cost, to notify all members of the Classes of the unlawful acts discussed herein;
- Injunctive relief stopping Defendant from further impermissible pulls;
- Actual damages suffered by Plaintiff and each Class member, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1), against Defendant;
- Statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000.00 to Plaintiff and each Class member, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1), against Defendant;
- An award of any such amount as the Court may allow for all other class members, against Defendant;
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(3) and 1681o(a)(2), against Defendant; and

10

• Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

25 | /// 26 | /// 27 | ///

///

28 ///

TRIAL BY JURY 56. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury Respectfully submitted, Dated: February 4, 2020 BY: /s/ RYAN L. MCBRIDE RYAN L. McBride, Esq. ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF **COMPLAINT**